



The Colorado Energy Office

MISSION STATEMENT

The CEO's mission is to improve the effective use of all of Colorado's energy resources and the efficient consumption of energy in all economic sectors, through providing technical guidance, financial support, policy advocacy and public communications.

DEPARTMENT VISION

The CEO's vision is to help Coloradans live more prosperous and healthy lives by promoting innovative energy production and efficient energy consumption practices that are beneficial to the economic and environmental health of the state.

Public sector EPC portfolio impact, FY15

- 140 facility owners
- 188 EPCs executed

Annual savings

\$ 31 M Utility cost savings guaranteed

161.3 M kWh Electricity

9.4 M thm Natural gas + propane + heating oil + coal

State

12%

Munis 18%

Higher Ed 14%

Special_

Districts

5%

Counties

15%

School

Districts

36%

66,546 kgal Water

- \$486.9 M total project investments #3 ESC Race to the Top \$96.82 invested per capita #6
- EPC projects in communities across 75% Colorado counties



Key elements of our public sector success

- Standardized, state-approved documents
- Pre-qualified ESCOs
- CEO advice and technical support
- Private market-based financing

Is success transferrable to the private sector?

- Lawrence Berkeley National Lab/NAESCO study
- 2011 U.S. Dept. of Energy Competitive Award and cooperative agreement
- Incentivized participation
 75% (up to \$25,000) of TEA cost per client
- Gauge private sector reaction to key elements of success



Participation

- Goal: Engage 10 companies in the EPC process
- Spoke to 32 companies
- Vetted 27 prospects
 - 6 Owner-occupied <u>and</u> leased office buildings
 - 4 Medical (assisted living & hospital)
 - 3 Manufacturing
 - 3 Mountain resorts (lodging + ski operations)
 - 2 Retail space
 - 9 Misc: Multi-family, TV, bank, warehouse, community center, ice rink, car dealership, charter school and oil & gas production



Participation, cont.

- Incentivized 13 companies for 16 investment grade audits
 - 2.2 million square feet
 - Potential annual savings
 - 12.8 million kWh electricity
 - 450,000 therms natural gas
 - 3,400 kgal water
 - \$1.45 million utility cost savings
 - Project tracking, as of December 2014
 - 3 conversions: audit to EPC
 - 10 in decision-making mode
 - 3 self-implementing



Participation, cont.

- Incentivized 13 companies for 16 investment grade audits
 - 2.2 million square feet
 - Potential annual savings
 - 12.8 million kWh electricity
 - 450,000 therms natural gas
 - 3,400 kgal water
 - \$1.45 million utility cost savings
 - Project tracking, as of December 2014
 - 3 conversions: audit to EPC
 - 10 in decision-making mode
 - 3 self-implementing



Cost of delay vs. realized benefits

Participant	kWh	therms	kgal	\$ Annl savings	\$	Investment	Term
SELF-PERFORMING							
Resort 3	2,100,000			204,472	\$	-	-
Property Mgt 3	272,271	27,533	0	57,295	\$	-	-
Banking	884,922	24,246	0	42,420	\$	-	-
Cost of delay	3,257,193	51,779	0	\$ 304,187	\$	-	-
EXECUTED EPC							
Manufacturing 3	892,736	3,649	-	60,934	\$	177,396	3 yrs
Resort 1 - Lodging	1,408,000	210,159	2,235	248,329	\$	1,299,966	6 yrs
Community Center	1,346,006	84,357	2,484	163,333	\$	2,608,916	15 yrs
Realized benefits	3,646,742	298,165	4,719	\$ 472,596	\$	4,086,278	

......





Lessons Learned



Market benefits

Deeper retrofits than previously experienced

- Boiler replacement for space heating, snowmelt and DHW
- BAS installation, control sequencing
- Process ventilation optimization
- Exterior lighting, daylighting controls
- Gas oven process heating optimization
- Chiller upgrades
- Air handling units, thermal equalizers
- Pumping flow optimization
- Water efficiency retrofits



Market benefits

- Deeper retrofits than previously experienced
- Initial projects may lead to a company scaling up adoption of EPC across multiple facilities
- ESCO-client relationship building opportunities



Market barriers

- Client desire for short payback
- Internal financing vs. traditional 3rd party
- Layered corporate decision-making hierarchy
- Internal competition for savings
- Need for business-friendly contracts



Lessons learned

- Term of property hold
- Financial: ROI or payback required, timing with company budget cycle
- Project team very bearish on incentivizing
 - If offered, split between audit buy-down and implementation measures
 - Offer on a scheduled basis, rather than open enrollment
 - Federal funding required DUNS and SAMS
- Business-friendly contract docs
- Internal approval processes





Considerations for permanent program design/ SEO market intervention



Market benefits

Deeper retrofits than previously experienced

- Boiler replacement for space heating, snowmelt and DHW
- BAS installation, control sequencing
- Process ventilation optimization
- Exterior lighting, daylighting controls
- Gas oven process heating optimization
- Chiller upgrades
- Air handling units, thermal equalizers
- Pumping flow optimization
- Water efficiency retrofits



Key elements of our public sector success

- Standardized, state-approved documents
- Pre-qualified ESCOs
- CEO advice and technical support
- Project financing

Private sector dynamics in the 5-steps of EPC

- Step 1: Introductions and client approval
- Step 2: Client selects ESCO
- Step 3: Investment grade audit and project proposal
- Step 4: EPC execution, project financing, and construction
- Step 5: Measurement & Verification of savings guarantee



Other initiatives influencing private sector

- CoPACE, Colorado's Commercial PACE Program
- BOMA's launch of a redesigned EPC program
 - Re-launch announcement next week in LA
 - Private-public partnership development
 - LA Better Buildings Challenge
 - State EPC and PACE programs?
 - Advisory Board member
- Investor Confidence Project





Jeanna M. Paluzzi, EPC Program Manager 303.866.3464 jeanna.paluzzi@state.co.us